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## Section 1: Program Planning:

## Internal Analysis: Astronomy

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Astronomy credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard for course success ( $55.4 \%$ ).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Astronomy courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight increase ( $1.0 \%$ to $4.9 \%$ ) in comparison with the term retention rate in 20122013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (82.3\%) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention ( $70.3 \%$ ).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

n/a A significant portion of undergraduates who wish to pursue a career in Astronomy will seek a BS in Physics. There is a minimal need for establishing an AA or ADT program in Astronomy. Since we do not offer either, the number of degrees in Astronomy in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Astronomy in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 20122013.

## Modality:

The significant changes in the Modality from 2013 to 2015 is due to the increase in the MAX capacity for the telecourse version of ASTR100, which was approved in 2014.

## Demographics:

The significant changes in the demographics from 2013 to 2015 is due to the increased number of students in the telecourse version of ASTR100, which has a significant fraction of incarcerated students.

## Implications of Change

There have been two major changes in Astronomy since 2014:

1. The MAX for the telecourse version of ASTR100 was raised from 200 to 270 in Fall 2015. The number of students taking the telecourse ASTR100 more than doubled from 2013 to 2015, rising from 90 to slightly over 180. As of Fall 2016, there are over 200 students in this course. A significant fraction of these students are in the incarcerated program. Mahbub Khan is responsible for teaching the telecourse version of ASTR100, and will most likely continue to do so for the foreseeable future.
2. The acquisition of telescopes in 2015 has resulted in a major overhaul of the lab course ASTR100L. We anticipate an increase in the number of students taking ASTR100L at NBC in future semesters. On a related note, the MAX for ASTR100L was set at 40 when this course was based solely on computer simulations. This inflated number has resulted in a drop in the fill rate for Astronomy. The MAX for ASTR100L will be changed to 28 beginning Fall 2017 to better match the available equipment.

At present, there is the only FT faculty for Astronomy and Physics, David Devine. An additional FT faculty for Physics will be needed within 1-3 years in order for Devine to be able to focus his efforts on growing the Astronomy program at NBC.

Table 1.1 Program Productivity Data for Astronomy

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 272 | 571 | 895 |
| FTES | 26.1 | 52.5 | 81.8 |
| FTEF30 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 658 | 939 | 1,239 |
| Sections | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| Fill Rate | $75.3 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 260 | 567 | 890 |
|  |  | GENDER |  |
| Female | $49.6 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |
| Male | $48.8 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | $1.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
|  |  | AGE at TERM |  |
| Less than 19 | $20.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $38.1 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $14.6 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $10.0 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $5.8 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $5.4 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $5.4 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
|  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| African American | $4.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| American Indian | $5.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Asian | $22.3 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $16.9 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.8 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| White | $42.3 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | $8.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | $I N S T R U C T I O N A L ~ M O D A L I T Y ~$ | 0.3 |  |
| Cable | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Online | $60.8 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $0.0 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| Traditional | $39.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 1.2 Program Review Data for Astronomy by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 260 | 567 | 890 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $41.5 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $74.2 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |


|  | STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GENDER |  |  |
| Female | 129 | 174 | 211 |
| Male | 127 | 391 | 671 |
| Unknown | 4 | 2 | 8 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $36.4 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $46.5 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $71.3 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $77.2 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $75.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |

Table 1.3 Program Review Data for Astronomy by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 260 | 567 | 890 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $41.5 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $74.2 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 54 | 42 | 65 |
| 20 to 24 | 99 | 148 | 177 |
| 25 to 29 | 38 | 106 | 139 |
| 30 to 34 | 26 | 80 | 148 |
| 35 to 39 | 15 | 51 | 109 |
| 40 to 49 | 14 | 86 | 164 |
| 50 and Older | 14 | 54 | 88 |


| Success Rate   <br> Less than 19 $48.1 \%$ $31.0 \%$ <br> 20 to 24 $34.3 \%$ $39.2 \%$ <br> 25 to 29 $60.5 \%$ $51.9 \%$ <br> 30 to 34 $34.6 \%$ $56.3 \%$ <br> 35 to 39 $46.7 \%$ $64.7 \%$ <br> 40 to 49 $28.6 \%$ $61.6 \%$ <br> 50 and Older $35.7 \%$ $61.1 \%$$\$ .72 .3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 77.8\% | 59.5\% | 73.8\% |
| 20 to 24 | 72.7\% | 64.9\% | 71.8\% |
| 25 to 29 | 81.6\% | 70.8\% | 69.8\% |
| 30 to 34 | 84.6\% | 76.3\% | 80.4\% |
| 35 to 39 | 73.3\% | 84.3\% | 81.7\% |
| 40 to 49 | 50.0\% | 72.1\% | 79.3\% |
| 50 and Older | 57.1\% | 77.8\% | 70.5\% |

Table 1.4 Program Review Data for Astronomy by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 260 | 567 | 890 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $41.5 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $74.2 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| African American | 11 | 75 | 128 |
| American Indian | 13 | 30 | 43 |
| Asian | 58 | 53 | 105 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 44 | 123 | 215 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| White | 110 | 268 | 377 |
| Unknown | 22 | 14 | 12 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $0.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $15.4 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $39.7 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $50.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| White | $45.5 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Unknown | $50.0 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $45.5 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $69.2 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ |
| Asian | $67.2 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $72.7 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $78.2 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | $90.9 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |

Table 1.5 Program Review Data for Astronomy by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 260 | 567 | 890 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $41.5 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $74.2 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 0 |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Online | 158 | 237 | 591 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telecourse | 0 | 308 | 0 |
| Traditional | 102 | 22 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  | $44.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $36.1 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $66.5 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $50.0 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |
| Telecourse | $45.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Retention Rate
Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $69.6 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |  |
| Online |  | $64.9 \%$ |  |
| Self-Paced | $81.4 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $72.7 \%$ |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Internal Analysis: Biology

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Biology credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to $-10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to $-10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease ( -1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Biology courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and a slight decrease ( -1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (82.3\%) and was substantially higher (>10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Biology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Biology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013. HEALTH SCIENCE CERTIFICATE:

Our Health Science Certificate needs to be marketed by both the Science Department and the Counseling Department. We are adding Chem 180/180L (or Chem 180C once it passes Curriculum/State) as a choice
besides Chem 110. Chem 110 is required for the pre-nursing students, but Chem 180/180L is required for many of the other pre-allied health students. See data below.


## Modality:

The Biology Department has three types of students.

1. Non-majors Biology students who are taking Bio 100 (or MRSC 100) to fill their GE requirements. These students heavily favor the online method of delivery.
2. Health Science students who are taking classes to fill the prerequisites of a professional degree whether MD, DDS, PT, OT, PA, nursing, or pharmacy. These students require on site laboratory experience and therefore require on site courses.
3. Biology major student. These students require Bio 180 and Bio 185 in order to transfer to a UC or CSU. Our Biology ADT has yet to be approved as it awaits information on our Chem 180 and 185 programs. We are hopeful to have the ADT approved in 2017. These students also require on site laboratories.

## Demographics:

As mentioned above, we expect growth in our online Bio 100 and in our Biology ADT. However, growing on site classes requires Instructional Laboratory Associates for support. Also see facilities for vision of closing Le-Jao laboratory and developing second STEM center at Garden Grove.

## Implications of Change

In order to grow the ADT in Biology (Bio 180-Cell and Molecular Biology and Bio 185 Diversity of Organisms) and to continue to support our Health Science courses of Bio 220 (Human Anatomy), Bio 225 (Human Physiology), and Bio 210 (Microbiology), all which require on site laboratories, we will require additional full time Instructional Lab Associates in order to keep up with the pace of the course, order and maintain supplies, and monitor safety including following all MSDS (material data safety sheets).

We will also need to continue to hire part time instructors as there are two Biological
Science courses (BIO 282 Molecular Biology and Bio 283 Biochemistry)- that we are unable to offer at this time.

Table 1.6 Program Productivity Data for Biology

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 3,431 | 3,654 | 3,340 |
| FTES | 449.0 | 458.6 | 433.9 |
| FTEF30 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 11.0 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 686 | 680 | 648 |
| Sections | 79.0 | 78.0 | 74.0 |
| Fill Rate | $87.3 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 3,378 | 3,561 | 3,339 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 57.2\% | 57.3\% | 56.9\% |
| Male | 41.6\% | 41.5\% | 41.6\% |
| Unknown | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 12.8\% | 10.4\% | 10.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 38.0\% | 36.3\% | 38.9\% |
| 25 to 29 | 18.1\% | 20.2\% | 19.9\% |
| 30 to 34 | 11.5\% | 11.1\% | 9.9\% |
| 35 to 39 | 6.6\% | 6.6\% | 6.3\% |
| 40 to 49 | 8.1\% | 9.5\% | 8.2\% |
| 50 and Older | 4.9\% | 5.8\% | 6.1\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 8.1\% | 8.9\% | 8.0\% |
| American Indian | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Asian | 39.8\% | 37.2\% | 39.8\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 11.5\% | 14.3\% | 13.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| White | 31.7\% | 32.9\% | 34.2\% |
| Unknown | 6.6\% | 4.0\% | 1.7\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Online | 34.7\% | 41.2\% | 43.5\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 11.2\% | 13.4\% | 13.1\% |
| Traditional | 52.8\% | 45.0\% | 42.8\% |

Table 1.7 Program Review Data for Biology by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 3,378 | 3,561 | 3,339 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $74.4 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $88.0 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  | 0 |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Hybrid | 40 | 15 | 1,454 |
| Online | 1,172 | 1,467 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 4 | 0 | 437 |
| Telecourse | 378 | 478 | 1,430 |
| Traditional | 1,784 | 1,601 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hybrid | $85.0 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| Online | $77.2 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $75.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $43.7 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ |
| Traditional | $78.8 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hybrid | $90.0 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ |
| Online | $92.5 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $75.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $78.0 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ |
| Traditional | $87.2 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ |

Table 1.8 Program Review Data for Biology by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 3,378 | 3,561 | 3,339 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $74.4 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.0 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GENDER |  |  |  | 1,901 |
| Female | 1,933 | 2,040 | 1,388 |  |  |
| Male | 1,404 | 1,478 | 50 |  |  |
| Unknown | 41 | 43 |  |  |  |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $77.5 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $69.6 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $87.8 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| - Female | $89.8 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $85.3 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $97.6 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |

Table 1.9 Program Review Data for Biology by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 3,378 | 3,561 | 3,339 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $74.4 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.0 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 434 | 369 | 358 |
| 20 to 24 | 1,283 | 1,292 | 1,298 |
| 25 to 29 | 611 | 721 | 664 |
| 30 to 34 | 387 | 396 | 331 |
| 35 to 39 | 224 | 235 | 210 |
| 40 to 49 | 272 | 340 | 273 |
| 50 and Older | 167 | 208 | 205 |

## Success Rate

| Less than 19 | $76.7 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $76.1 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $73.8 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $69.8 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $71.0 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $68.8 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $80.8 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $91.9 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $90.3 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $87.7 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $79.8 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $85.7 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $84.2 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $89.8 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ |

Table 1.10 Program Review Data for Biology by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 3,378 | 3,561 | 3,339 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $74.4 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.0 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |


|  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | 272 | 316 | 267 |
| American Indian | 53 | 72 | 66 |
| Asian | 1,345 | 1,326 | 1,330 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 390 | 509 | 457 |
| Pacific Islander | 24 | 26 | 22 |
| White | 1,072 | 1,170 | 1,141 |
| Unknown | 222 | 142 | 56 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $53.3 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $54.7 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $82.5 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $62.1 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $66.7 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
| White | $74.2 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | $79.3 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| African American | $82.4 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $77.4 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| Asian | $90.6 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $85.1 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $87.5 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ |
| White | $87.5 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $89.2 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Chemistry

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Chemistry credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $65.4 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Chemistry courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight increase ( $1.0 \%$ to $4.9 \%$ ) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 20142015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Chemistry in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Chemistry in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The graded enrollment for Chemistry increased by more than 30\% (937 to 1277) from 2013 - 2015. This was due primarily to a large increase in the number of Traditional students, which rose from 565 to 878 during this period. There was a large drop in the number of online students between 2014 and 2015, however this was offset by a nearly equal increase in the number of hybrid students.

## Demographics:

There were minimal changes in the demographics during this period.

## Implications of Change

As part of its planned growth, and to better serve related STEM programs, Chemistry is in the late stages of developing an ADT program. The continued growth of Chemistry, especially at the Newport Beach Center, depends critically on sufficient staffing of Instructional Laboratory Associates. As discussed in the Biology section oif this review, In order to serve the needs of the sciences, we need at least 2 FT Instructional Laboratory Associates, one for the Garden Grove Center, and one for the Newport Beach Center. This is critical to the continued growth of Chemisty and all of the STEM programs.

Table 1.11 Program Productivity Data for Chemistry

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 1,165 | 1,574 | 1,343 |
| FTES | 137.2 | 192.3 | 217.6 |
| FTEF30 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 7.5 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 528 | 477 | 478 |
| Sections | 36.0 | 55.5 | 52.0 |
| Fill Rate | 88.4\% | 87.8\% | 87.4\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment | 937 | 1,349 | 1,277 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 60.1\% | 57.4\% | 59.1\% |
| Male | 36.6\% | 41.7\% | 38.8\% |
| Unknown | 3.3\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 17.3\% | 15.6\% | 14.0\% |
| 20 to 24 | 35.1\% | 39.5\% | 41.7\% |
| 25 to 29 | 24.7\% | 25.7\% | 21.6\% |
| 30 to 34 | 10.7\% | 10.2\% | 11.9\% |
| 35 to 39 | 5.5\% | 4.0\% | 5.2\% |
| 40 to 49 | 5.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.6\% |
| 50 and Older | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 4.9\% | 3.6\% | 3.4\% |
| American Indian | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% |
| Asian | 52.8\% | 50.3\% | 49.6\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 7.6\% | 11.0\% | 9.8\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% |
| White | 25.9\% | 30.5\% | 33.4\% |
| Unknown | 6.5\% | 3.8\% | 2.0\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% |
| Online | 39.7\% | 29.8\% | 22.2\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Traditional | 60.3\% | 70.2\% | 68.8\% |

Table 1.12 Program Review Data for Chemistry by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 937 | 1,349 | 1,277 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $81.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.4 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 0 |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 284 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Online | 372 | 402 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 878 |
| Telecourse | 0 | 0 | 947 |
| Traditional | 565 |  | 0 |


| Success Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cable |  |  | $78.3 \%$ |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid | $77.7 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ |  |
| Online |  |  | $81.0 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $84.1 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $88.4 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced | $88.3 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.13 Program Review Data for Chemistry by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 937 | 1,349 | 1,277 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $81.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.4 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  | 755 |
| Female | 563 | 774 | 495 |
| Male | 343 | 562 | 27 |
| Unknown | 31 | 13 |  |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $79.8 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $84.3 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $83.9 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $87.2 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $90.4 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $91.5 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $87.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ |

Table 1.14 Program Review Data for Chemistry by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 937 | 1,349 | 1,277 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $81.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $88.4 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AGE at TERM |  |
| Less than 19 | 162 | 210 | 179 |
| 20 to 24 | 329 | 533 | 533 |
| 25 to 29 | 231 | 347 | 276 |
| 30 to 34 | 100 | 137 | 152 |
| 35 to 39 | 52 | 54 | 66 |
| 40 to 49 | 47 | 46 | 46 |
| 50 and Older | 16 | 22 | 25 |


| Success Rate   <br> Less than 19 $89.5 \%$ $82.9 \%$ <br> 20 to 24 $79.0 \%$ $85.2 \%$ <br> 25 to 29 $81.0 \%$ $81.6 \%$ <br> 30 to 34 $82.0 \%$ $82.5 \%$ <br> 35 to 39 $73.1 \%$ $72.2 \%$ <br> 40 to 49 $80.9 \%$ $78.3 \%$ <br> 50 and Older $87.5 \%$ $81.8 \%$$>84.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $95.1 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $87.8 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $87.9 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $85.0 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $80.8 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $87.2 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $87.5 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 1.15 Program Review Data for Chemistry by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 937 | 1,349 | 1,277 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $81.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.4 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| African American | 46 | 48 | 44 |
| American Indian | 14 | 8 | 19 |
| Asian | 495 | 679 | 634 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 71 | 148 | 125 |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| White | 243 | 411 | 426 |
| Unknown | 61 | 51 | 26 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $58.7 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $92.9 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $84.0 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $64.8 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $71.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| White | $81.9 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ |
| Unknown | $95.1 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $80.4 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $100.0 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $88.3 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $76.1 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $71.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| White | $90.9 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $98.4 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Geology

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Geology credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 20122013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Geology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight increase ( $1.0 \%$ to $4.9 \%$ ) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (82.3\%) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Geology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Geology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The graded enrollment rose by over 30\% (1081-1429) from 2013 - 2015. This increase of roughly 350 students was due primarily to an increase of 200 in the number of telecourse students and an increase of 150 in the number of online students.

## Demographics:

There was a slight decrease in the relative number of female students during this time period. This is most likely related to the increased proportion of incarcerated students that take telecourses.

## Implications of Change

No major changes at this time except those related to migrating to CANVAS.

Table 1.16 Program Productivity Data for Geology

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 1,107 | 1,214 | 1,428 |
| FTES | 90.9 | 101.1 | 128.9 |
| FTEF30 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 1,053 | 862 | 817 |
| Sections | 12.0 | 17.0 | 26.0 |
| Fill Rate | 89.3\% | 73.8\% | 75.6\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment | 1,081 | 1,209 | 1,429 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 40.1\% | 41.4\% | 36.7\% |
| Male | 58.4\% | 57.6\% | 62.1\% |
| Unknown | 1.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 10.8\% | 8.6\% | 8.5\% |
| 20 to 24 | 27.4\% | 24.3\% | 25.0\% |
| 25 to 29 | 20.7\% | 18.3\% | 18.6\% |
| 30 to 34 | 13.0\% | 16.2\% | 14.3\% |
| 35 to 39 | 9.6\% | 10.3\% | 11.2\% |
| 40 to 49 | 12.1\% | 13.7\% | 13.9\% |
| 50 and Older | 6.3\% | 8.5\% | 8.6\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 11.2\% | 11.8\% | 11.5\% |
| American Indian | 2.7\% | 3.2\% | 3.8\% |
| Asian | 16.1\% | 13.6\% | 15.8\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 16.7\% | 18.2\% | 18.6\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% |
| White | 47.1\% | 48.5\% | 46.9\% |
| Unknown | 5.6\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% |
| Online | 64.9\% | 61.6\% | 59.3\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 35.1\% | 37.5\% | 38.3\% |
| Traditional | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Table 1.17 Program Review Data for Geology by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,081 | 1,209 | 1,429 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $61.0 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $84.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 11 | 848 |
| Online | 702 | 745 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 548 |
| Telecourse | 379 | 453 | 0 |
| Traditional | 0 | 0 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $61.7 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Online |  | $70.5 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $59.6 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ |  |
| Telecourse |  |  | $72.8 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Retention Rate
Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hybrid | $86.5 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| Online |  | $85.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $82.1 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ |  |
| Telecourse |  |  | $90.1 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.18 Program Review Data for Geology by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,081 | 1,209 | 1,429 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $61.0 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $84.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 433 | 500 | 525 |
| Male | 631 | 696 | 887 |
| Unknown | 17 | 13 | 17 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $61.4 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $60.4 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $70.6 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $86.8 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $83.4 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $94.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ |

Table 1.19 Program Review Data for Geology by Age Group

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,081 | 1,209 | 1,429 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $61.0 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $84.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AGE at TERM |  |
| Less than 19 | 117 | 104 | 121 |
| 20 to 24 | 296 | 294 | 267 |
| 25 to 29 | 224 | 221 | 204 |
| 30 to 34 | 141 | 196 | 160 |
| 35 to 39 | 104 | 125 | 198 |
| 40 to 49 | 131 | 166 | 123 |
| 50 and Older | 68 | 103 |  |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $70.9 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $54.1 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $60.7 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $58.2 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $68.3 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $65.6 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $60.3 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $92.3 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $85.5 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $85.7 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $81.6 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $80.8 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $85.5 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $79.4 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ |

Table 1.20 Program Review Data for Geology by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,081 | 1,209 | 1,429 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $61.0 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $84.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
|  | 121 | 143 | 165 |
| African American | 29 | 39 | 55 |
| American Indian | 174 | 164 | 226 |
| Asian | 180 | 220 | 266 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 7 | 13 | 12 |
| Pacific Islander | 509 | 586 | 670 |
| White | 61 | 44 | 35 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |

## Success Rate

| African American | $45.5 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $51.7 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ |
| Asian | $62.1 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $57.8 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $71.4 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| White | $65.8 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | $60.7 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $75.2 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $82.8 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ |
| Asian | $82.2 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $83.9 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ | $90.6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| White | $88.4 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $85.2 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Marine Science

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Marine Science credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (>10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 20122013.

The fill rate in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 20122013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 20122013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was slightly higher (1.0\% to 4.9\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Marine Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the term retention rate in 20122013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (82.3\%) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Marine Science in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Marine Science in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

Marine science will be undergoing a change as CANVAS is now the current LMS. As it requires time to build a course of excellence, it could not be offered in Fall of 2016 as our concentration was on the heavily desired online Biology 100 course. Considerations for the future include looking at the option of a hybrid course as well as an online CANVAS course. Our Full time facultyfor Marine Science, Dr. Tanya Murray, has been filling in last year with the absence of Dr. Pedro Gutierrez and teaching his Cell and Molecular Biology course. She will now be allowed to pursue the development a course of excellence that can be delivered online, hybrid and onsite. We are also looking to add a C-ID to the Marine Science so that it can be found under BIO as well as MRSC.

## Demographics:

Coastline Community College cannot complete with Orange Coast College in the number of Marine Sciences classes. However, we can offer hybrid and online and we have the Newport Beach Center campus with its proximity to the Pacific Ocean.

## Implications of Change

Developing CANVAS and hybrid classes with allow us to grow the Marine Science department within the constraints of our available faculty to teach it and our instructional lab associates to support it.

Table 1.21 Program Productivity Data for Marine Science

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 366 | 439 | 481 |
| FTES | 28.7 | 36.8 | 41.5 |
| FTEF30 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 1,086 | 863 | 1,201 |
| Sections | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Fill Rate | $91.5 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 361 | 437 | 481 |
|  |  | GENDER |  |
| Female | $29.9 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| Male | $70.1 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
|  |  | AGE at TERM |  |
| Less than 19 | $5.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $30.2 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $18.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $16.1 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $10.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $15.2 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $4.4 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| African American | $10.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| American Indian | $6.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |


| Asian | $13.3 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic/Latino | $16.3 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| White | $46.3 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $6.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |
| Cable | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Online | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $79.2 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $91.5 \%$ |
| Traditional | $20.8 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |

Table 1.22 Program Review Data for Marine Science by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 361 | 437 | 481 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $68.1 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $83.1 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Online | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 440 |
| Telecourse | 286 | 373 | 41 |
| Traditional | 75 | 64 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | $68.5 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $66.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | $83.6 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $81.3 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.23 Program Review Data for Marine Science by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 361 | 437 | 481 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $68.1 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $83.1 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GENDER |  |  |
| Female | 108 | 93 | 71 |
| Male | 253 | 342 | 406 |
| Unknown | 0 | 2 | 4 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $67.6 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $68.4 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ |
| - Unknown |  | $100.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retention Rate | $78.7 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ |
| - Female | $85.0 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| - Male |  | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| - Unknown |  |  |  |

Table 1.24 Program Review Data for Marine Science by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 361 | 437 | 481 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $68.1 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $83.1 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 18 | 34 | 25 |
| 20 to 24 | 109 | 74 | 86 |
| 25 to 29 | 68 | 73 | 86 |
| 30 to 34 | 58 | 72 | 76 |
| 35 to 39 | 37 | 63 | 63 |
| 40 to 49 | 55 | 65 | 91 |
| 50 and Older | 16 | 56 | 54 |


| Success Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 77.8\% | 67.6\% | 68.0\% |
| 20 to 24 | 67.0\% | 55.4\% | 54.7\% |
| 25 to 29 | 60.3\% | 68.5\% | 52.3\% |
| 30 to 34 | 63.8\% | 63.9\% | 64.5\% |
| 35 to 39 | 81.1\% | 73.0\% | 50.8\% |
| 40 to 49 | 70.9\% | 64.6\% | 61.5\% |
| 50 and Older | 75.0\% | 69.6\% | 59.3\% |

## Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $77.8 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $88.1 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $83.8 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $77.6 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $81.1 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $83.6 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $75.0 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |

Table 1.25 Program Review Data for Marine Science by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 361 | 437 | 481 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $68.1 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $83.1 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| African American | 37 | 62 | 75 |
| American Indian | 23 | 24 | 21 |
| Asian | 48 | 53 | 59 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 59 | 64 | 91 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| White | 167 | 218 | 215 |
| Unknown | 25 | 11 | 14 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $54.1 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $73.9 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $79.2 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $64.4 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $50.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| White | $68.9 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ |
| Unknown | $68.0 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $89.2 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $78.3 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $85.4 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $83.1 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| White | $79.6 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ |
| Unknown | $96.0 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Physics

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to $10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Physics credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013. This is due to the following: 1. An increase in the max number of students for courses with a lab component due to additional equipment, and 2. Offering a new course in 2015 (Phys 185) that had a small number of students.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to $-10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Physics courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Physics in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Physics in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The graded enrollent grew by 10\% (307-340) from $2013-2015$. There was a drop in the onsite enrollment associated with the move to the Newport Beach Center in 2013, however this was offset by an increase in the number of online students who take Phys C110. The online and hybrid courses have been extensively overhauled as part of the migration to CANVAS.

## Demographics:

The only demographic that showed any significant change during this period was the number of students between ages 20-24, which increased from $39 \%$ to $46 \%$. It should be noted that a significant percentage of students who take Phys120 and Phys125 have already received degrees, and are changing fields to Allied Health positions such as Physicians Assistants and Physical Therapists.

## Implications of Change

The major focus of 2013-2015 was to overhaul the lecture and lab content for the existing physics courses, to establish a Physics ADT, and to create the Caculus-based courses appropriate for STEM majors. The emphasis over the next 5 years needs to shift from creation to growth. This will require the addition of a FT instructor for Physics. There is currently only one FT instructor for both Physics and Astronomy (David Devine). As mentioned in the Biology and Chemistry sections, this also required the hiring of an additional FT Instructional Lab Associate. Devine has been assuming that role for Physics and Astronomy due to understaffing, however that will no longer be possible as the number of Physics and Astronomy offerings continues to increase.

Table 1.26 Program Productivity Data for Physics

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | $\mathbf{3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ |
| FTES | 32.3 | 36.4 | 33.6 |
| FTEF30 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 513 | 505 | 466 |
| Sections | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Fill Rate | $86.7 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 307 | 355 | 339 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 51.5\% | 58.6\% | 54.0\% |
| Male | 45.9\% | 39.7\% | 43.7\% |
| Unknown | 2.6\% | 1.7\% | 2.4\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 13.0\% | 11.0\% | 10.6\% |
| 20 to 24 | 38.8\% | 38.0\% | 45.7\% |
| 25 to 29 | 20.5\% | 22.8\% | 19.5\% |
| 30 to 34 | 11.1\% | 13.0\% | 10.6\% |
| 35 to 39 | 3.9\% | 3.4\% | 5.3\% |
| 40 to 49 | 8.5\% | 7.6\% | 4.4\% |
| 50 and Older | 4.2\% | 4.2\% | 3.8\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 4.9\% | 5.1\% | 2.4\% |
| American Indian | 1.3\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
| Asian | 44.0\% | 42.0\% | 41.9\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 7.2\% | 8.7\% | 11.2\% |
| Pacific Islander | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% |
| White | 33.9\% | 35.2\% | 40.1\% |
| Unknown | 7.2\% | 5.6\% | 1.2\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 40.1\% | 23.1\% | 27.7\% |
| Online | 59.9\% | 76.9\% | 72.3\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Traditional | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Table 1.27 Program Review Data for Physics by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 307 | 355 | 339 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $77.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.6 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 0 |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| Hybrid | 123 | 82 | 0 |
| Online | 184 | 273 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telecourse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Traditional | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $83.7 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| Online | $73.9 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence | $89.4 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $88.0 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.28 Program Review Data for Physics by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 307 | 355 | 339 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $77.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.6 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  | 183 |
| Female | 158 | 208 | 148 |
| Male | 141 | 141 | 8 |
| Unknown | 8 | 6 |  |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $81.0 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $75.2 \%$ | $74.5 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $62.5 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $90.5 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $87.2 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $75.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |

Table 1.29 Program Review Data for Physics by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 307 | 355 | 339 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $77.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.6 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 40 | 39 | 36 |
| 20 to 24 | 119 | 135 | 155 |
| 25 to 29 | 63 | 81 | 66 |
| 30 to 34 | 34 | 46 | 36 |
| 35 to 39 | 12 | 12 | 18 |
| 40 to 49 | 26 | 27 | 15 |
| 50 and Older | 13 | 15 | 13 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $70.0 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $82.4 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $77.8 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $76.5 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $83.3 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $69.2 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $76.9 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |


| Retention Rate <br> Less than 19 | $85.0 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $91.6 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $87.3 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $88.2 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $91.7 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $94.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $80.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $92.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |

Table 1.30 Program Review Data for Physics by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 307 | 355 | 339 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $77.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.6 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| African American | 15 | 18 | 8 |
| American Indian | 4 | 10 | 10 |
| Asian | 135 | 149 | 142 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 22 | 31 | 38 |
| Pacific Islander | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| White | 104 | 125 | 4 |
| Unknown | 22 | 20 |  |

## Success Rate

| African American | $53.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $100.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $88.1 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $63.6 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $20.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| White | $72.1 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | $81.8 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $73.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| American Indian | $100.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $93.3 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $81.8 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $60.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $86.5 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | $90.9 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Program Student Learning Outcome(s)

N/A

## Progress on Forward Strategy Initiative(s)

## Progress on 5-year Goals from most recent Science Program Review.

Table 1.31 Progress on Forward Strategies

| Initiative(s) | Status | Progress Status Description | Outcome(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astronomy: Develop Labs and Lab Manuals for ASTR100 Lab (20132017) | In Progress | Equipment purchased Spring 2015, Labs being revised. | ASTR100 Lab offered for the first time in Spring 2016 |
| Astronomy: Acquire a minimum of five 8-inch telescopes for hands-on labs and field trips. (2013-2017) | Completed | Equipment purchased, labs being designed. | Telescopes and associated accessories purchased in Spring 2015 (THANKS!!). |
| Astronomy: Work with OCC to teach advanced ASTR courses. (2013-2018) | Not Started | On hold until CCC ASTR and PHYS curricula are stable. | n/a |
| Biology: Develop and offer Health Science Certificate and AS degree and explore partnerships with local health care facilities | 100\% <br> Complete | Health Care Certificate is in its third year of awards. It is being revised this fall to include the option of Chem 180/180L or the soon to be Chem 180C for Chem 110. This way the certificate can apply to pre-physical therapy, premed, pre-dental among others. |  |
| Biology: Implementation of Biology major courses | 100\% <br> Complete | The Biology majors courses are in their second year of being offered |  |
| Biology: Redesign general biology laboratory instructional materials | 100\% <br> Complete | Adopted different lab manual and implemented new experiments with lottery |  |
| Biology: Develop independent study course involving human cadaveric dissection | Partially Complete | Course is developed and approved at State level. However we are in need of a specially designed room | We are in need of a specially designed room, hopefully room at the Newport Beach Center |
| Biology: Offer Biology AS-T degree | Partially Complete | The Biology ADT is awaiting the C-IDs from Chemistry. The Chemistry C-IDs (Chem 180/ 185 and 180L/185L and 180C) are in the Curriculum submission process. |  |
| Biology: Biotechnician Certificate | In Review | The Biotech Certificate needs a home-preferably in a new lab at Garden Grove and possibly as a CTE |  |


| Chemistry: Implement Organic Chemistry sequence for CHEM and BIO majors. | In Progress | Although courses are currently offered, articulation agreements have not been finalized. Additional load to Instructional Associate. | Organic Chemistry started in 2014. Organic Chemistry full time faculty hired. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry: Establish AS-T Degree | Not Started | Chemistry AS-T has been established, but has not been published yet. <br> Preparatory steps: 1) align current CHEM 180 and 185 syllabi with C-ID course descriptors; 2) submit for C-ID approval |  |
| Chemistry: Offer CHEM130 in hybrid format. | In Progress |  |  |
| Geology: Continue to update and review modalities for course offering. Add GEOL 105 and 105L for summer. | Complete |  | Hired two new adjunct instructors and are offering two additional sections of online GEOL C105L Lab |
| Geology: Develop site-based lab for major. | Not Started |  |  |
| Physics: Purchase lab and computer equipment for full set of Phys120/125 labs. (2013-2015) | Complete | Equipment purchased in Spring 2013. | Equipment for 20 new labs has been implemented at NBC beginning Fall 2013. |
| Physics: Design a full suite of labs and associated lab manuals for Phys120/125. (2013-2015) | In Progress | Labs have been designed and revised. New revisions related to CANVAS migration for 2016-2017. |  |
| Physics: Establish AS-T Degree in Physics (2013-2016) | In Progress | Physics curricula needs to be revised to match Carnegie units. Will take place in 2016-2017. | State approval for AS-T and associated C-ID designations granted in 2014-2015. |

## Section 2: Human Capital Planning

## Staffing

Table 2.1 Staffing Plan

| Year | Administrator | Management | F/T Faculty | Adjunct | Classified | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous year 2014-2015 | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) |
| Current year 2015-2016 | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | INSTRUCTOR BIOLOGY (2) INSTRUCTOR <br> MICROBIOLOGY <br> AND <br> PHYSIOLOGY(1) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> DIVERSITY OF <br> ORGANISMS AND <br> MARINE SCIENCE <br> (1) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> ANATOMY AND <br> PHSYIOLOGY (1) <br> ASTR/PHYS (1) <br> GEOL(1) <br> CHEM (2) | Adjuncts ASTR100 (1) BIO $100(3)$ BIO $100 \mathrm{~L}(4)$ BIO $120(1)$, BIO $200(1)$, BIO $210(3)$ BIO $220(6)$, BIO $225(3)$, CHEM105 (1) CHEM110 (3) CHEM130 (1) CHEM180 (1) CHEM180L (1) CHEM185 (1) CHEM185L (1) MRSC $100(1)$ PHYS110 (1) | INSTRUCTIONAL LAB ASSOCIATE <br> (1) | INSTRUCTIONAL LAB ASSOCIATE TEMPORARY (2) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { year } \\ 2016-2017 \end{gathered}$ | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | INSTRUCTOR BIOLOGY (2) INSTRUCTOR <br> MICROBIOLOGY <br> AND <br> PHYSIOLOGY(1) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> DIVERSITY OF <br> ORGANISMS AND <br> MARINE SCIENCE <br> (1) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> ANATOMY AND <br> PHSYIOLOGY (1) <br> ASTR/PHYS (1) <br> GEOL(1) <br> CHEM (2) | Adjuncts ASTR100 (1) BIO $100(3)$ BIO 100L (4), BIO $120(1)$, BIO $200(1)$, BIO $210(3)$ BIO $220(6)$ BIO $225(3)$ BIO $282(1)$ BIO $283(1)$ MRSC $100(1)$ CHEM110 (3) CHEM130 (1) CHEM140 (1) CHEM180 (1) CHEM180L (1) CHEM185 (1) CHEM185L (1) MRSC $100(1)$ PHYS110 (1) PHYS120 (1) | INSTRUCTIONAL LAB ASSOCIATES <br> (3) | Position Title (\# of positions) |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { years } \\ 2017-2018 \end{gathered}$ | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | INSTRUCTOR BIOLOGY (2) INSTRUCTOR MICROBIOLOGY AND PHYSIOLOGY(1) INSTRUCTOR DIVERSITY OF ORGANISMS AND | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjuncts } \\ \text { ASTR100 (1) } \\ \text { BIO } 100(4) \\ \text { BIO } 100 \mathrm{~L}(4) \\ \text { BIO } 120(1) \\ \text { Bio } 180(1) \\ \text { BIO } 200(1) \\ \text { BIO } 210(3) \end{gathered}$ | INSTRUCTIONAL LAB ASSOCIATES <br> (3) | Position Title (\# of positions) |
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|  |  |  | MARINE SCIENCE <br> (1) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> ANATOMY AND <br> PHSYIOLOGY (1) <br> ASTR/PHYS (2) <br> CHEM (2) <br> GEO (2) | BIO 220 (6) BIO 225 (3) BIO 282 (1) BIO 283 (1) CHEM110 (3) CHEM130 (1) CHEM140 (1) CHEM180 (1) CHEM180L (1) CHEM185( 1) CHEM185L (1) MRSC 100 (1) PHYS110 (1) PHYS120 (1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { years } \\ 2018-2019 \end{gathered}$ | Position Title (\# of positions) | Position Title (\# of positions) | INSTRUCTOR <br> BIOLOGY (2) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> MICROBIOLOGY <br> AND <br> PHYSIOLOGY(1) <br> INSTRUCTOR DIVERSITY OF ORGANISMS AND MARINE SCIENCE <br> (1) <br> INSTRUCTOR <br> ANATOMY AND <br> PHSYIOLOGY (1) <br> ASTR/PHYS (2) | BIO $100(5)$ BIO $100 \mathrm{~L}(4)$ BIO $120(1)$ Bio $180(1)$ BIO $200(1)$ BIO $210(3)$ BIO $220(6)$ BIO $225(4)$ BIO $282(1)$ BIO $283(1)$ MRSC $100(2)$ PHYS110 (1) PHYS120 (1) | INSTRUCTIONAL LAB ASSOCIATES <br> (3) | Position Title (\# of positions) |

We are very understaffed with INSTRUCTIONAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATES. The INSTRUCTIONAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATES are responsible for ordering and maintaining supplies, setting up laboratory experiments and following all safety guidelines across 3 campuses for our multitude of different laboratories that cross several disciplines. Presently, we have only on full-time INSTRUCTIONAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATE and two TEMPORAY part time INSTRUCTIONAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATES.

In order to maintain safety, as well and order and stock supplies, and set up the cultures, chemicals, gels, and specimens need for each lab across three campuses, at the minimum, we require:
1.) One full time INSTRUCTIONAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATE for Chemistry-need to hire
2.) One full time INSTRUCITONAL LABORATROY ASSOCIATE for Microbiology- we have
3.) One full time or 3 part time INSTRUCTIONAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATES for Bio 180, Bio185, Bio 210, Bio220, Bio 225, MRSC 100 , ASTR, PHYS. GEOL (at present, we have two part-time temporary)

## Professional Development

## Biology:

Tanya Murray was an early adopter of Canvas and participated in training and an online course since October 2015. BIOL100 courses were standardized based on her model course. She attended a Webinar provided by NROC on successful online strategies and transitioning new and non-traditional students into their major-level courses, during August 2015. She peer reviewed a paper on plant-mycorrhizal dynamics for the Plant Ecology journal, December 2015, and volunteers as a Science Mentor for FLOW and Amigos de Bolsa Chica, through the Bolsa Chica State Park in Huntington Beach, reviewing the organization's research protocol and provide guidance for student research at the high school and college level for biology courses since August '15. She completed a manuscript reviewing the neurobiology of Alzheimer's Disease, focusing on 5HT neurotransmission and the activity of current and novel pharmaceutical agents as a contracted piece for Arbor Scientia in Dec 2015. Her majors level biology students participated in research with Bolsa Chica State Park using field collection and identification techniques, and managed a large data set of plankton diversity and relative abundance collected from the Bolsa Chica wetland and tidal inlet.

## Deborah Henry

1.) Attended the California Association of Neurological Surgeons Annual Meeting in January 2015, Newport Beach
2.) Attended the Faculty Success Center Workshop in Cerritos on March 27, 2015
3.) Completed the Reading Apprenticeship course from West-Ed March -May 2015
4.) Attended the Council of State Neurosurgical Societies meeting in Washington DC in May 2015

5,) Attended the Western Neurosurgical Society 61 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Annual Meeting in Kauai in September 2015
6.) Attended the Council of State Neurosurgical Societies in New Orleans in October 2015

## Chemistry:

Jean Dupon acts as the Chemical Safety officer for Coastline Community College and is a member of the Educational Committee for the Orange County section of the American Chemical Society. She recently updated and extended the course outlines for the Chemistry curriculum at Coastline, including comprehensive course descriptions and outlining the combination of the separate Lecture and Laboratory components of the General Chemistry and Organic Chemistry sequences into combined courses with single grading. She attended the NSF-sponsored Chemistry Collaborations, Workshops and Community of

Scholars (CCWCS) workshop entitled " Active Learning in Organic Chemistry" in 2015. She has participated in courses in the Chemistry of Biomolecules offered by MIT open courseware (ocw.mit.edu) and an Adobe Digital Creativity course. She is presently writing a new laboratory manual for use in Chem 130 for introduction in Spring 2017 as well as a laboratory manual for the Organic Chemistry series: Chem 220/225.

## Physics and Astronomy:

David Devine attended the American Astronomical Society meeting in San Diego June 2016. He continues to design and build laboratory exercises for ASTR100L, PHYS120, PHYS125, and PHYS185.

## Section 3: Facilities Planning

Facility Assessment

1) HUMAN CADAVER DISSECTION room needed. This room needs TO HAVE A CONTRACTOR AND ARCHITECT DESIGN ADEQUATE VENTILATION in the small room off of lab 206. WE WOULD NEED TO PURCHASE A REFRIGERATION /COOLER SYSTEM FOR THE CADAVER AND A DISSECTION TABLE. The room needs a secure lock and no visibility to the outside (no windows people can look through). These are requirements from the UCl cadaver donation program.
2.) GARDEN GROVE SCIENCE CENTER-Once we secure the remainder of the Garden Grove building, relocate computer rooms (classrooms are currently only being used at night) and convert rooms 302, 304, and 306 to biological science laboratory rooms with a Prep room in-between. Move Bio 220 and Bio 225 from Le-Jao where there is only one exit door, no adequate storage, a noisy refrigerator and no prep room. This room can either be converted or kept as a Bio 100 laboratory room.
Reasons
a.) Promotes safety as the Garden Grove laboratories will have 2 exit doors
b.) Promotes safety as there will be a Prep Room for storage of biological specimens
c.) Creates the ability to have a full time Instructional Laboratory Associate at each center. Right now, one person travels between three centers which means two centers do not have an overseer at any point in time.
d.) Creates student success as there will be an additional center (Newport Beach being the other) where students can go to complete all their science requirements
e.) Allows the possibility of the Le-Jao laboratory (which is inadequate)

Here were some comments from the 2008 Program Review from instructors on laboratory space:

The lab (Le-Jao) is very crowded as it is used for Anatomy, Physiology and Biology. It is packed with equipments and materials for the three course. It needs a storage room. I feel that we need to build a new lab and hire a lab tech.

Laboratory equipment is sometimes missing, falling apart, or not in adequate numbers for the amount of students. As the laboratory is used for many classes, it would be helpful to have a laboratory tech assigned for maintenance, storage and continued quality managed of equipment.
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Student use of laboratory equipment inevitably includes some breakage and damage. To this end, adequate replacements of frequently utilized consumables, instrument maintenance and spare glassware to augment broken pieces are necessary to continue offering students an appropriate experience in laboratory science.

Increases in the number of students enrolled in laboratory chemistry courses has not opened additional lab sections and the sections currently open are progressively more crowded. Long term planning for chemistry courses at Coastline, both at our Garden Grove and Newport Beach campuses should include consideration of an additional laboratory space, which could be accommodated using the current prep spaces.

Adequacy of instructional facilities and lab equipment. We are lacking. The lab, even though just remodeled is very disorganized. We also lack the needed turn around time to convert from microbio to chem. There is also a safety issue in that if anything is left out we have no clue as to what it is. For the chemist we have no idea how toxic the bacteria is the microbio lab is using and for the microbio, they may not know how toxic our chemicals are. So we are then in turn exposing each other to toxins. One possible fix is to build another lab and separate us into two rooms. This would relieve congestion and allow us to get organized. (Garden Grove Center)

## Section 4: Technology Planning

## Technology Assessment

1. Need for 3-D printer for biological studies including development of artificial limbs
2.) Continued utilization of the newly installed Smart Boards in the classrooms. Docu-cameras for any additional room or lab.

## Section 5: New Initiatives

Biological Sciences

| Initiative(s) | Status | Reasons | TIME TO IMPLEMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Develop laboratory space for the Human Cadaver lab | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIOR } \\ & \text { GOAL } \end{aligned}$ | Students applying to occupational therapy programs are required to observe/participate in human cadaver dissections. <br> Promotes student success in the Anatomical Sciences | 12-18 months |
| Develop laboratory space at Garden Grove Center (see below) | NEW | The laboratory at Le-Jao proposes a hazard as there is only one exit door. It also has no prep room, a noisy refrigerator and now no storage. | 2-3 years |
| Dune Mapping at Bolsa Chica State Park/propagation of dune plants/ pollinator studies 3-D printer | NEW | Promote the Diversity of Organisms course and Biology ADT | 1-12 months |
| Redesign Microbiology manual | NEW | Science readily changes | 12-18 months |
| Implement OER | STARTED | Saves students money. | Spring or fall 2017 |
| Bring back the Health Science Triathlon | RESTART | Promotes School unity. <br> This was previously abandoned due to lack of support from Dean at the time and no funding. | May 2017 |
| Create hybrid course in Marine Science | Planned for spring 2017 | Offers class in different modalitiy from sister colleges. | Spring 2017 |
| Promote Health Science Certificate | ONGOING | Promotes program and enrollment as well as certificates | Ongoing |
| Develop Faculty Web pages | NEW | Allows students to learn about faculty and the programs available at Coastline. Could lead to increased degrees and certificates. | Depends on support from college |
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| Stem Program | NEW | Creates pathways for <br> science students to earn <br> degrees and certificates | $3-5$ years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Split Department into two: <br> Biological Science Department and <br> Physical Science Department | NEW | Creates easier <br> understanding among <br> students, faculty and staff | 2016-2017 and ongoing |
| Hire two new Full time <br> Instructional Lab Associates | PRIOR | GOAL <br> Promotes School unity. <br> This was previously <br> abandoned due to lack of <br> support from Dean at the | Immediate need |
| Hire FT Physics Faculty | New | Necessary for growth of <br> Physics and Astronomy <br> programs. Currently 1 FT <br> Faciulty for both disciplines. | 1-2 years |

## Describe how the initiative supports the college mission:

Please see reasons in table above

What college goal does the initiative align with? Select one
xx $\square$
Student SuccessPartnershipsAccess, Persistence and RetentionCulture of planning, evidence and inquiryInnovationGrowth and efficiency

What College planning document(s) does the initiative align with? Select all that apply
$\square x$ Educational Master PlanFacilities
$\square x$ StaffingTechnology

What evidence supports this initiative? Select all that apply
$\square x$ Learning Outcome (SLO/PSLO) assessment
$\square x$ Internal Research (Student achievement, program performance)
$\square x$ External Research (Academic literature, market assessment, audit findings, compliance mandates)

## Describe how the evidence supports this initiative.

Recommended resource(s) needed for initiative achievement:
Please see below under next section.

## What is the anticipated outcome of completing the initiative?

Current student body includes many students that have completed their undergraduate education and are returning to school to complete prerequisites required for graduate programs in the biological sciences such as medical, veterinary, physician's assistant, physical therapy, and occupational therapy schools, in addition to graduate programs in ecology, marine biology/science, and/or biology.
1.) Cadaver lab supports our always impacted Bio 220 Human Anatomy class, gives the advance student the opportunity for advanced study and fulfills a requirement for the pre-occupational therapy student. From a student with her permission:

From: Kendall Ohara [kohara6@student.cccd.edu[mailto:kohara6@student.cccd.edu](mailto:kohara6@student.cccd.edu)]
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 4:21 PM
To: Henry, Deborah
Subject: Question!
Hello Dr. Henry,
Sorry for bother you on a Saturday but I noticed that in our syllabus we won't have an opportunity to examine a human cadaver and the graduate school that I am planning to go to requires me to receive at least $6+$ hours of working with a human cadaver. Is there any way that I would be able to access this through Coastline? Please let me know! Thank you so much!

## -Kendall Ohara

2.) GARDEN GROVE SCIENCE CENTER- will
a.) Promote safety as the Garden Grove laboratories will have 2 exit doors
b.) Promote safety as there will be a Prep Room for storage of biological specimens
c.) Create the ability to have a full time Instructional Laboratory Associate at each center. Right now, one person travels between three centers which means two centers do not have an overseer at any point in time.
d.) Create student success as there will be an additional center (Newport Beach being the other) where students can go to complete all their science requirements
e.) Allow the possibility of the Le-Jao laboratory (which is inadequate) to be refurbished into a need for the Le-Jao Center.
3.) Internship and research opportunities help set these students apart from a very large extremely competitive pool of applicants and can provide opportunities not found at other community colleges. This will grow our science program by offering unique career-prep opportunities, and increase CCC interaction and commitment to our greater community. It will strengthen our contributions to the science community and contribute to our STEM initiatives by adding technology and engineering opportunities (both with mathematical components) to our biology courses.
4.) Creates a manual that is up-to-date with current biological techniques
5.) OER saves students money
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6.) Health Science Triathlon will be scheduled for May 2017 pending funding.
7.) Marine Science hybrid will allow for a different modality from our sister colleges
8.) Promoting the Health Science Certificate will increase the number of certificates awarded.
9.) Faculty web pages will promote the faculty and programs
10.) STEM program-to increase the ADT in the sciences
11.) Splitting the Department into to Chairs of separate departments (Chair of the Physical Sciences and Chair of the Biological Sciences) rather than already divided co-chairs of the same department will allow for students, staff, and faculty to know who to communicated with for questions, allow for a better understanding of the supplies and support staff needed, and a program review that is specific to each department. A letter is being drafted that will be submitted to the Academic Senate president and to the Department Chairs Committee to split into two separate departments.
12.) The need for two more full time Instructional Lab Associates is a priority and is addressed under staffing requests.

Provide a timeline and timeframe from initiative inception to completion.

See chart under NEW INITIATIVES, Biological Sciences

## Section 6: Prioritization

List and prioritize resource requests BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

| Initiative | Resource(s) | Est. Cost | Funding <br> Type | Health, <br> Safety <br> Compliance | Evidence | College Goal <br> Completed <br> by | Priority |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## PRIORITIZATION:

1.) TWO ADDITIONAL FULL TIME INSTRUCTIONAL LAB ASSOCIATES: SEE BELOW under staffing.
2.) ANATOMICAL MODELS/HISTOLOGY SUPPLIES/ MOLECULAR BIOLOGY SUPPLIES. We have models at the Le-Jao center that were here when I started in January 2007 that were broken then and never were replaced. The number of models is inadequate for the number of students. Presently, there is one arm/leg model per average of six students. Ideally, it would be one per two students. Also the skeleton models need replaced at Le-Jao. There are not enough microscopes at the Garden Grove Center. Histology slides need to be in 3 labs as we use them simultaneously. Cell and Molecular Biology will be offered at both NBC and Garden Grove thus additional supplies needed.
3.) HUMAN CADAVER ROOM WILL NEED TO HAVE A CONTRACTOR AND ARCHITECT DESIGN ADEQUATE VENTILATION in the small room off of lab 206. WE WOULD NEED TO PURCHASE A REFRIGERATION /COOLER SYSTEM FOR THE CADAVER AND A DISSECTION TABLE. The room needs a secure lock and no visibility to the outside (no windows people can look through). These are requirements from the UCI cadaver donation program.
4.) GARDEN GROVE SCIENCE CENTER-Once we secure the remainder of the Garden Grove building, relocate computer rooms (classrooms are currently only being used at night) and convert rooms 302, 304, and 306 to biological science laboratory rooms with a Prep room in-between. Move Bio 220 and Bio 225 from Le-Jao where there is only one exit door, no adequate storage, a noisy refrigerator and no prep room. This room can either be converted or kept as a Bio 100 laboratory room.
Reasons
a.) Promotes safety as the Garden Grove laboratories will have 2 exit doors
b.) Promotes safety as there will be a Prep Room for storage of biological specimens
c.) Creates the ability to have a full time Instructional Laboratory Associate at each center. Right now, one person travels between three centers which means two centers do not have an overseer at any point in time.
d.) Creates student success as there will be an additional center (Newport Beach being the other) where students can go to complete all their science requirements
e.) Allows the possibility of the Le-Jao laboratory (which is inadequate)

Here were some comments from the 2008 Program Review from instructors on laboratory space:
The lab (Le-Jao) is very crowded as it is used for Anatomy, Physiology and Biology. It is packed with equipments and materials for the three course. It needs a storage room. I feel that we need to build a new lab and hire a lab tech.

Laboratory equipment is sometimes missing, falling apart, or not in adequate numbers for the amount of students. As the laboratory is used for many classes, it would be helpful to have a laboratory tech assigned for maintenance, storage and continued quality managed of equipment.

Adequacy of instructional facilities and lab equipment. We are lacking. The lab, even though just remodeled is very disorganized. We also lack the needed turn around time to convert from microbio to chem. There is also a safety issue in that if anything is left out we have no clue as to what it is. For the chemist we have no idea how toxic the bacteria is the microbio lab is using and for the microbio, they may not know how toxic our chemicals are. So we are then in turn exposing each other to toxins. One possible fix is to build another lab and separate us into two rooms. This would relieve congestion and allow us to get organized. (Garden Grove Center)
5.) Research opportunities at Bolsa Chica (and elsewhere) will grow our science program by offering unique career-prep opportunities, and increase CCC interaction and commitment to our greater community. It will strengthen our contributions to the science community and contribute to our STEM initiatives by adding technology and engineering opportunities (both with mathematical components) to our biology courses. 3-D printing will allow for student research and service opportunities.
6.) Deep freezer requested in 2013-2014 program review.
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7.) Faculty web pages would help us promote courses, degrees, and certificates and increase enrollment.
8.) Ongoing laboratory supplies to run our labs-this is our annual budget for informational purposes and for budget planning.

List and prioritize staffing requests. For full-time positions, include a Coast District approved job description.

| Initiative | Resource(s) | Est. <br> Cost | Funding <br> Type | Health, <br> Safety <br> Compliance | Evidence | College <br> Goal | To be <br> Completed <br> by | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hire two new Full <br> time Instructional <br> Lab Associates |  | $90-$ <br> 100 K | Faculty <br> side of <br> $50 / 50$ | YES | Maintain <br> Chemicals <br> and <br> Biological <br> Specimens | YES | IMMEDIATE | IMMEDIATE |

Halvorson, Mary

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Hayes, Joan
Wednesday, August 17, 2016 11:45 AM
Halvorson, Mary
Instructional Associate Information

Dear Mary,
Here is the job announcement for the instructional associate job, which Angelique Hill holds now.
SALARY: $\quad \$ 47,484.00-\$ 57,780.00$ annually plus the cost of benefits
This is a regular, full-time, 12-month per year position.
Details in the job announcement are pasted below, just as illustration of the level of education, knowledge and experience for that pay range. This information was current in October 2015:

From: Barber, Shaunick
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 9:51 AM
To: Halvorson, Mary; Henry, Deborah
Cc: Hayes, Joan
Subject: RE: instructional Lab Associate

Here's the full job posting from when Angelique was hired:
COAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
invites applications for the position of:
Instructional Associate (Biological Sciences/Chemistry)

SALARY:
\$47,484.00-\$57,780.00 Annually
OPENING DATE:

10/21/15
CLOSING DATE:
11/03/15 11:59 PM

## DEFINITION:

Under general supervision, provides instructional assistance and support tasks to students in the Biological Science and Chemistry classes in accordance with assignments and directions from a course instructor or other
academic personnel; provides technical assistance to students, faculty, and staff in the use of technology in instructional programs; monitors and reports student progress; maintains Biological Science and Chemistry lab operations; provides instructional support for the students enrolled in Biological Science and Chemistry classes/labs requiring technical competence in basic math, general biology, chemistry, use of instructional equipment and software, and basic academic skills.
DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTICS: Positions assigned to the class of Instructional Associate perform duties to assist and support a classroom instructor or other academic personnel, in a tutorial program, classroom, lab, or office setting. Classification in the instructional associate area requires individual emphasis, based on the instructional assignment. Each specialized emphasis (i.e.: Instructional Associate/ Arts) constitutes a separate classification.
Specific tasks may vary depending upon the subject area and discipline to which assigned. Work is performed within a framework of established procedures which may not require the physical presence of the instructor or other academic personnel.
Positions in this class may exist in any of the instructional departments or divisions. Incumbents in the class of Instructional Associate are expected to have completed a minimum of two years of college with a major in the subject area to which their position is assigned. Recruitment to fill a position in this class should include the instructional area of assignment, such as "Instructional Associate (Art)" or "Instructional Associate (Mathematics)".
EXAMPLES OF DUTIES: Duties may include, but are not limited to, the following:
a. Assist instructional staff with the instruction, demonstration, and presentation of course assignments and materials for groups of students in the Biological Science and Chemistry courses.
b. Assist in the preparation of instructional activities.
c. Assist students with assignments, course content, and study skills; evaluate student work and coordinate appropriate tutorial sessions and supplemental instruction as needed.
d. Assist in the coordination, operation, and maintenance of the science laboratory set up, including preparation of solutions, dispensing chemicals, preparing specimens, setting up equipment, and disposing of chemicals and specimens.
e. Set up and maintain specialized instruments and equipment and present information in a logical, accurate and interesting manner to students.
f. Orient students and new faculty on the procedures used and technology available to facilitate learning.
g. Monitor and record student progress and attendance; prepare and maintain related records and reports; evaluate student needs; confer with instructors regarding student progress.
h. Recommend appropriate instructional materials and technology to be used by students; maintain and test instructional materials and technology to ensure instructional methods and course content is current, accurate, and relevant in accordance with instructor's curriculum guidelines.
i. Demonstrate or describe the proper operation of equipment, instruments and supplies used in lab work.
j. Assist students with technical difficulties regarding assignments.
k. Respond to student questions and provide information regarding subject matter.
I. Research and troubleshoot technical problems when students, staff, and faculty have difficulty with the instructional equipment, course management systems, or log-on procedures.
m . Assist in recruiting, hiring, training, and supervising student assistants.
n . Assist instructors in preparation of manuals, handouts, and training/teaching materials.
o. Demonstrate and enforce proper health and safety procedures and regulations in the laboratories and ensure that students are aware of such regulations.
p. Perform general clerical functions critical to the maintenance and efficiency of the laboratories.
q. Participate in development of the budget and monitor expenditures.
r. Make minor repairs and adjustments to laboratory equipment, such as microscopes; and arrange for necessary repairs of other equipment.
s. Oversee schedule and training of students and maintain hourly employee records.
t. Maintain accurate records.
u. Consult with vendors regarding supplies, materials and equipment as needed.
v . Monitor inventory and order materials and equipment needed to maintain office, lab, and/or classroom.
w. Participate in department meetings with instructional staff to exchange information, provide periodic reports and to remain current in instructional support techniques and procedures.
$x$. Perform related duties and responsibilities as required.
QUALIFICATIONS:
MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS:

## Knowledge of:

1. Basic math, general biology and chemistry at the community college level.
2. Supplies and equipment of a life science and chemistry laboratories and record keeping consistent with inventory control.
3. Proper laboratory techniques for preparation and storage of solutions.
4. Appropriate safety precautions and procedures as directed by District Health and Safety Officers.
5. Instructional and tutorial methods and techniques.

6 . Student guidance principles and practices.
7. Community college curriculum and educational requirements.
8. Correct English usage, grammar, spelling, punctuation, and vocabulary.
9. Oral and written communication skills.
10. Computer assisted instruction, word processing software, and network applications.
11. Principles and procedures of record keeping.
12. Tools, techniques, equipment, basic study skills, and applicable technology used in the Biological Sciences/Chemistry laboratory.
13. Interpersonal skills using tact, patience and consideration.

Ability to:
14. Properly set up, operate and demonstrate the correct operation/handling of materials and equipment used in biology and chemistry laboratories.
15. Work with biological sciences and chemistry chemicals, specimens, and related equipment.
16. Perform basic math, chemistry calculations, and college level work in assigned academic field.
17. Maintain chemicals and dispose of waste according to procedures specified by District Health and Safety.
18. Supervise the work of student assistants and maintain hourly employee records.
19. Maintain an inventory on a computer in compliance with the Office of Health and Safety.
20. Understand and carry out oral and written instructions.
21. Provide effective instruction and assistance to students enrolled in the biology and chemistry classes.
22. Present and demonstrate instructional materials and tutor in at least one subject area.
23. Assess student achievement and encourage student participation.
24. Troubleshoot and maintain instructional materials and technology.
25. Respond to questions and assist students as needed.
26. Maintain accurate records.
27. Work independently in the absence of supervision.
28. Plan, organize, and schedule a variety of work and activities.
29. Oversee the work of others.
30. Understand and follow oral and written instructions.
31. Recommend appropriate instructional materials and resources.
32. Communicate clearly and concisely, both orally and in writing.
33. Perform basic clerical duties and work with statistical data.
34. Establish and maintain effective working relationships with those contacted in the course of work, including individuals from diverse academic, socioeconomic, cultural, and ethnic and disability backgrounds.

## Education and Experience:

35. Completion of two years of college with major course work in chemistry or biology or the equivalent (must include organic chemistry and general biology classes).
36. Two years experience in an instructional setting as a tutor or in a similar position.
37. Or, any combination of experience and training that would likely provide the required knowledge and abilities.

LICENSES OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS:
Some positions in this classification may require a valid California driver's license and/or possession of a certificate of completion from an accredited college or agency relative to the assigned area. Continuing education, training or certification may be required.

CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT:

This is a regular, full-time, 12-month per year position. The normal hours of work will be 8:00 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday. The effective date of employment will be arranged with the supervisor. Incumbent's schedule will involve working at three different college sites. Incumbent will handle biological and chemical hazardous materials.

* Regular attendance is considered an essential job function; the inability to meet attendance requirements may preclude the employee from retaining employment.
* The person holding this position is considered a mandated reporter under the California Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act and is required to comply with the requirements set forth in Coast Community College District policies, procedures, and Title IX. (Reference: BP/AP 5910)
* The Coast Community College District celebrates all forms of diversity and is deeply committed to fostering an inclusive environment within which students, staff, administrators, and faculty thrive. Individual's interested in advancing the District's strategic diversity goals are strongly encouraged to apply. Reasonable accommodations will be provided for qualified applicants with disabilities who self-disclose.

[^0]Joan


[^0]:    My pleasure!

